

General

Guideline Title

Best evidence statement (BESt). Sleep promotion in children with mental health diagnoses.

Bibliographic Source(s)

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. Best evidence statement (BESt). Sleep promotion in children with mental health diagnoses. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2012 May 10. 6 p. [10 references]

Guideline Status

This is the current release of the guideline.

Recommendations

Major Recommendations

The strength of the recommendation (strongly recommended, recommended, or no recommendation) and the quality of the evidence $(1a\hat{a} \in `5b)$ are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

- 1. It is recommended that for children with sleep onset latency, improving sleep hygiene and progressive relaxation may decrease sleep onset latency (Lacks et al., 1983 [2b]; Borkevec et al., 1979 [2b]).
 - Note: Sleep hygiene would include regular bedtime and waking routines, association of bedroom with sleep, monitoring nighttime activities to promote sleep and limiting napping.
- 2. It is recommended that children aged 6-12 who are diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and children with developmental disorders benefit from the use of melatonin to improve sleep efficacy and sleep duration and to decrease sleep onset latency (Van Der Heijaden et al., 2007 [2a]; Hoebert et al., 2009 [4a]; Dodge & Wilson, 2001 [2b]; Armour & Paton, 2004 [5a]).

Definitions:

Table of Evidence Levels

Quality Level	Definition
1a† or 1b†	Systematic review, meta-analysis, or meta-synthesis of multiple studies
2a or 2b	Best study design for domain
3a or 3b	Fair study design for domain
4a or 4b	Weak study design for domain

Quatity Level	Definition view, expert opinion, case report, consensus report, or guideline
5	Local consensus

 $\dagger a = good quality study; b = lesser quality study$

Table of Recommendation Strength

Strength	Definition
It is strongly recommended that It is strongly recommended that not	There is consensus that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens (or vice versa for negative recommendations).
It is recommended that It is recommended thatnot	There is consensus that benefits are closely balanced with risks and burdens.
There is insufficient evidence and a la	ck of consensus to make a recommendation

See the original guideline document for the dimensions used for judging the strength of the recommendation.

Clinical Algorithm(s)

None provided

Scope

Disease/Condition(s)

Mental health diagnoses, including attention deficit disorders, autism spectrum disorders or developmental delays receiving inpatient psychiatric mental health and/or behavioral care

Guideline Category

Assessment of Therapeutic Effectiveness

Management

Treatment

Clinical Specialty

Family Practice

Internal Medicine

Pediatrics

Sleep Medicine

Intended Users

Advanced Practice Nurses

Nurses

Physicians

Guideline Objective(s)

To evaluate, among children with mental health diagnoses, if self-regulation techniques compared to as needed (PRN) sleep medications affects sleep quality at night during an inpatient hospital stay

Target Population

Children aged 3-18 years old with mental health diagnoses, including attention deficit disorders, autism spectrum disorders or developmental delays receiving inpatient psychiatric mental health and/or behavioral care

Interventions and Practices Considered

- 1. Self-regulation techniques that promote sleep hygiene and progressive relaxation
- 2. Melatonin

Major Outcomes Considered

- Sleep onset latency
- Sleep duration
- Number of night time awakenings

Methodology

Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Searches of Electronic Databases

Description of Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Search Strategy

Search terms included: sleep, relaxation, progressive muscular relaxation, mediation, calming techniques, breathing techniques, self-awareness, self-regulation, cranial-sacral massage, neuroaffective, melatonin, sleep aids, Benadryl, child, and psychiatry.

The databases searched include: MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, PubMed. The search was limited to articles that were printed in English, all dates inclusive through December 2010. A question was submitted to National Association of Children's Hospitals and Related Institutions, now known as Child Health Association, with no responses.

Number of Source Documents

Not stated

Methods Used to Assess the Quality and Strength of the Evidence

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence

Table of Evidence Levels

Quality Level	Definition
1a† or 1b†	Systematic review, meta-analysis, or meta-synthesis of multiple studies
2a or 2b	Best study design for domain
3a or 3b	Fair study design for domain
4a or 4b	Weak study design for domain
5a or 5b	General review, expert opinion, case report, consensus report, or guideline
5	Local consensus

 $\dagger a = good quality study; b = lesser quality study$

Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

Systematic Review

Description of the Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

Not stated

Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Expert Consensus

Description of Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Not stated

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations

Table of Recommendation Strength

Strength	Definition	
It is strongly recommended that It is strongly recommended that not	There is consensus that benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens (or vice versa for negative recommendations).	
It is recommended that It is recommended thatnot	There is consensus that benefits are closely balanced with risks and burdens.	
There is insufficient evidence and a lack of consensus to make a recommendation		

See the original guideline document for the dimensions used for judging the strength of the recommendation.

Cost Analysis

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

Method of Guideline Validation

Peer Review

Description of Method of Guideline Validation

This Best Evidence Statement has been reviewed against quality criteria by 2 independent reviewers from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC) Evidence Collaboration.

Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

References Supporting the Recommendations

Armour D, Paton C. Melatonin in the treatment of insomnia in children and adolescents. Psychiatr Bull. 2004;28(6):222-4.

Borkovec TD, Grayson JB, O'Brien GT, Weerts TC. Relaxation treatment of pseudoinsomnia and idiopathic insomnia: an electroencephalographic evaluation. J Appl Behav Anal. 1979 Spring;12(1):37-54. PubMed

Dodge NN, Wilson GA. Melatonin for treatment of sleep disorders in children with developmental disabilities. J Child Neurol. 2001 Aug;16(8):581-4. PubMed

Hoebert M, van der Heijden KB, van Geijlswijk IM, Smits MG. Long-term follow-up of melatonin treatment in children with ADHD and chronic sleep onset insomnia. J Pineal Res. 2009 Aug;47(1):1-7. PubMed

Lacks P, Bertelson AD, Gans L, Kunkel J. The effectiveness of three behavioral treatments for different degrees of sleep onset insomnia. Behav Ther. 1983;14(5):593-605.

Van der Heijden KB, Smits MG, Van Someren EJ, Ridderinkhof KR, Gunning WB. Effect of melatonin on sleep, behavior, and cognition in ADHD and chronic sleep-onset insomnia. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2007 Feb;46(2):233-41. PubMed

Type of Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see the "Major Recommendations" field).

Benefits/Harms of Implementing the Guideline Recommendations

Potential Benefits

Improved sleep quality at night including decreased sleep onset latency, increased sleep duration and decreased number of night time awakenings

Potential Harms

Melatonin has rare possible side effects such as headache, increased seizure activity, increased asthma symptoms and a potential adverse effect on puberty development

Qualifying Statements

Qualifying Statements

This Best Evidence Statement addresses only key points of care for the target population; it is not intended to be a comprehensive practice guideline. These recommendations result from review of literature and practices current at the time of their formulation. This Best Evidence Statement does not preclude using care modalities proven efficacious in studies published subsequent to the current revision of this document. This document is not intended to impose standards of care preventing selective variances from the recommendations to meet the specific and unique requirements of individual patients. Adherence to this Statement is voluntary. The clinician in light of the individual circumstances presented by the patient must make the ultimate judgment regarding the priority of any specific procedure.

Implementation of the Guideline

Description of Implementation Strategy

An implementation strategy was not provided.

Implementation Tools

Audit Criteria/Indicators

For information about availability, see the Availability of Companion Documents and Patient Resources fields below.

Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM Care Need

Getting Better

Living with Illness

IOM Domain

Effectiveness

Identifying Information and Availability

Bibliographic Source(s)

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. Best evidence statement (BESt). Sleep promotion in children with mental health diagnoses. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2012 May 10. 6 p. [10 references]

Adaptation

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.
Date Released
2012 May 10
Guideline Developer(s)
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center - Hospital/Medical Center
Source(s) of Funding
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center
Guideline Committee
Not stated
Composition of Group That Authored the Guideline
Team Leader/Author: Aurealassa Williams, RN, BSN, LMT, VCSW, Division of Psychiatry
Team Members/Co-Authors: Devin Robinson, RN II, Division of Psychiatry; Melissa Liddle BS, CCLS, CTRS Child Life Specialist II, Division of Psychiatry
Support/Consultant: Mary Ellen Meier, RN, MSN, CPE, EBP Mentor; Debra Rhein, BSN, RN, Clinical Manager, Division of Psychiatry
Financial Disclosures/Conflicts of Interest
No financial conflicts of interest were found.
Guideline Status
This is the current release of the guideline.
Guideline Availability
Electronic copies: Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site
Print copies: For information regarding the full-text guideline, print copies, or evidence-based practice support services contact the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Health James M. Anderson Center for Health Systems Excellence at EBDMInfo@cchmc.org.
Availability of Companion Documents
The following are available:
 Judging the strength of a recommendation. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2008 Jan. 1 p. Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site Grading a body of evidence to answer a clinical question. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 1 p. Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site

• Table of evidence levels. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2008 Feb 29. 1 p. Available from the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Web site.
Print copies: For information regarding the full-text guideline, print copies, or evidence-based practice support services contact the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center Health James M. Anderson Center for Health Systems Excellence at EBDMInfo@cchmc.org.
In addition, suggested process or outcome measures are available in the original guideline document.
Patient Resources
None available
NGC Status
This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on August 30, 2012.
Copyright Statement
This NGC summary is based on the original full-text guideline, which is subject to the following copyright restrictions:
Copies of this Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC) Best Evidence Statement (BESt) are available online and may be distributed by any organization for the global purpose of improving child health outcomes. Examples of approved uses of the BESt include the following:

- Copies may be provided to anyone involved in the organization's process for developing and implementing evidence based care;
- Hyperlinks to the CCHMC website may be placed on the organization's website;
- The BESt may be adopted or adapted for use within the organization, provided that CCHMC receives appropriate attribution on all written or electronic documents; and
- Copies may be provided to patients and the clinicians who manage their care.

Notification of CCHMC at EBDMInfo@cchmc.org for any BESt adopted, adapted, implemented or hyperlinked by the organization is appreciated.

Disclaimer

NGC Disclaimer

The National Guideline Clearinghouse \hat{a}, ϕ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.